teaching, or even suggestion, in Scholer that the ion exchange material be present in a cartridge.

For this reason, additionally, it is considered that the rejection of Claims 4-9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Scholer lacks merit.

An early allowance of the claims and case is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By <u>Norman N. Spain</u>, Reg. 17,846 Attorney (914) 333-9653

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

on Feb. 24, 2003

By Elissa De Lucey